

MULTILAYER REFLECTOR WITH SUPPRESSION OF HIGH ORDER REFLECTIONS

Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to multilayer interference films having a plurality of individual layers arranged in optical repeat units so as to reflect light at least at a first wavelength λ_0 . The layers within the optical repeat units can be arranged so as to suppress reflectivity at higher orders, in particular at least the second order ($\lambda_0/2$), the third order ($\lambda_0/3$), and the fourth order ($\lambda_0/4$). Such films are of particular, but not exclusive, utility in infrared applications where high reflectivity in the infrared region is desired but low reflectivity/high transmission throughout the visible region is also desired.

Background

Multilayer interference films are known. In such films, a multitude of individual layers are arranged in a repeating sequence, where the smallest repeating arrangement of layers is referred to as an optical repeat unit, sometimes also referred to as a unit cell. Adjacent individual layers have unequal refractive indices for at least one polarization state of light. The individual layers also have optical thicknesses—defined as the physical thickness multiplied by the refractive index of the individual layer—of less than a design wavelength λ_0 such that constructive or destructive interference for light components reflected at the interfaces between individual layers can occur to produce the desired overall reflectivity at λ_0 . (Since a beam of light traveling through a material experiences a refractive index that can change with the polarization state, direction of travel, and wavelength of the light beam, the “effective refractive index” of the material, which takes these factors into account, can be used in this calculation.) In the simplest case, referred to as a quarter-wave stack, the prior art film comprises alternating layers of a relatively high refractive index material (“H”) and a relatively low refractive index

material (“L”), each of which have an optical thickness of $\lambda_0/4$. Each optical repeat unit of such a stack consists essentially of just two adjacent individual layers, one H and one L, and has an overall optical thickness of one-half of the design wavelength.

Such a stack, however, not only reflects light at the design wavelength, but also at 5 integer fractions of the design wavelength that are referred to herein as higher order reflection wavelengths shown generically in FIG. 1. In that figure, which plots in a simplified fashion normal incidence reflectance of a generalized optical stack versus wavelength on linear scales, a first order reflectance band 100 is seen at the design wavelength λ_0 , a second order reflection peak is seen at $\lambda_0/2$, a third order peak is seen at 10 $\lambda_0/3$, and a fourth order peak is seen at $\lambda_0/4$. Still higher orders, of course, also exist but are not shown. The higher order reflections, beginning with the second order, are shown generally at 110. A true quarter-wave stack has no even-order reflectance bands ($\lambda_0/2$, $\lambda_0/4$, $\lambda_0/6$, etc.) due to symmetry, but does have odd-order reflectance bands. If the H and L layer within a two-layer optical repeat unit have unequal optical thicknesses, the 15 even-order reflectance bands will be nonzero.

The peak reflectance and the spectral width of the first order reflectance band 100 depends on the refractive indices n_H , n_L of the H,L layers respectively at the design wavelength (and thus also on the refractive index difference $\Delta n = n_H - n_L$), and on the 20 total number of optical repeat units in the stack. Furthermore, it is known to introduce a thickness gradient such that the optical thickness of the optical repeat units changes along a thickness axis of the stack, in order to expand the spectral width of the first order reflectance band 100. The reflective power (determined by peak reflectance and bandwidth) of the higher order bands generally decreases with increasing order number.

The higher order reflectance bands can be undesirable in some applications. For 25 example, if a visibly transparent infrared-reflecting film is desired for solar control in vehicle or architectural window applications, such that λ_0 is greater than about 800 nm, one or more higher order reflectance bands can appear in the visible region and impart an undesirable color that changes with viewing direction.

A number of techniques for suppressing at least some of the higher order 30 reflectance bands are known.

In one known approach, the so-called “f-ratio” of the quarterwave stack is controlled to a value different than 50% by making one of the optical repeat unit component layers (H or L) optically thicker than the other (L or H, respectively) throughout the stack. Although this approach can suppress some higher order reflectance bands, it cannot suppress the second, third, and fourth orders simultaneously and is thus of limited applicability.

The second, third, and fourth orders are collectively significant because it is often desirable to reflect light in a wide first-order band extending from just beyond the visible (i.e., starting between about 700 and 800 nanometers) to about 2000 nanometers.

10 Reflection bands beyond 4th order will generally fall in the UV portion of the spectrum and thus not present any coloration problem in the human visible spectrum (about 400 to 700 nanometers). Although a 5th order reflection for a 1st order band at 2000 nanometers will appear at 400 nanometers, such reflections are usually very weak and, being disposed at the edge of the visible where the sensitivity of the human eye is poor, 15 generally go unnoticed.

In another known approach, the optical thickness sequence of the layers is modified such that the number of individual layers in each optical repeat unit is increased from two to six. See U.S. Patent No. 5,360,659 (Arends et al.). The six layers still alternate between the H and L component materials, but are arranged in relative optical 20 thicknesses of 7:1:1:7:1:1. Such a structure suppresses second, third, and fourth order reflections.

In yet another known approach, a third optical material (referred to herein as “M”) is introduced having a particular refractive index intermediate that of H and L. See U.S. Patent No. 5,103,337 (Schrenk et al.). See also U.S. Patent No. 3,247,392 (Thelen). 25 Further, the individual layers are arranged in each optical repeat unit in the order HMLM with relative optical thicknesses of 1/3:1/6:1/3:1/6 respectively, and the refractive indices are chosen to have the relationship

$$n_M = \sqrt{n_H n_L} \quad Eq. (1)$$

This approach also suppresses second, third, and fourth order reflections.

There is a continuing need for still more multilayer film constructions to be made available to the optical film designer, including constructions that can suppress the higher order reflections.

Brief Summary

5 The present application discloses multilayer films having a unique arrangement of individual layers within the optical repeat units of a multilayer stack. Each of a plurality of optical repeat units comprises six individual layers, at least three of which have different refractive indices at a design wavelength λ_0 . Individual layers that have nominally the same refractive index and physical thickness (hence, also the same optical
10 thickness) can be given a unique arbitrary letter designation, such as "A", "B", "C", or "D". These layers are arranged in a six-layer optical repeat unit in the order CACDBD, or a cyclic permutation thereof, where the A and B layers are each thicker than the C and D layers.

15 Advantageously, the thicknesses and refractive indices of the layers in such a multilayer stack can be selected to suppress the second, third, and fourth order reflections. One technique for determining such a selection comprises defining a refractive index function $f(X)$ for the optical repeat unit, where X is the displacement in optical thickness along the optical repeat unit, and the function value f is the refractive index at that displacement. The function f is then Fourier analyzed, and the thicknesses
20 and refractive indices of the individual layers are adjusted or otherwise selected such that three consecutive Fourier coefficients become zero. If the second, third, and fourth order Fourier coefficients are zero—and provided the optical thickness of the entire optical repeat unit is maintained at $\lambda_0/2$ —a multilayer film composed of optical repeat units so defined will reflect light at the design wavelength λ_0 but will suppress reflection at least
25 at the second, third, and fourth order harmonics ($\lambda_0/2$, $\lambda_0/3$, and $\lambda_0/4$).

30 In some embodiments, the A and D layers can have the same refractive index (and can be composed of the same material). Alternatively, the B and C layers can have the same refractive index (and can be composed of the same material). In either of those cases, the optical repeat unit can be composed of only three optically distinct materials rather than four, even though it still may be made up of the six individual layers CACBDB (or cyclic permutations thereof).

In other embodiments, the 6-layer optical repeat unit can be composed of four optically distinct materials, corresponding to the four layer types A, B, C, D. In a subset of these embodiments, a closed-form solution for optical thickness of the individual layers can be obtained if the optical materials are selected such that the average refractive index of the thick A and B layers equals the average refractive index of the thin C and D layers, or, equivalently, such that $(n_A + n_B) = (n_C + n_D)$, where $n_A > n_D > n_C > n_B$. Each C layer in the optical repeat unit has an optical thickness t_C , and each D layer has an optical thickness t_D , and, to satisfy symmetry conditions, $t_C = t_D$. The A layer in the optical repeat unit has an optical thickness t_A and the B layer has an optical thickness t_B , and $t_A = t_B$ also to satisfy symmetry conditions. The closed-form relationship that makes the second, third, and fourth order Fourier coefficients zero, and that suppresses second, third, and fourth-order reflections in the multilayer film, is then:

$$\frac{3\pi X_3}{2} = \pi - \arcsin\left(\frac{-\Delta n_{DC}}{2\Delta n_{AB} + \Delta n_{DC}}\right), \quad Eq. (2)$$

where $X_3 = t_A/(t_A + 2t_C) = t_B/(t_B + 2t_D)$, where $\Delta n_{DC} = n_D - n_C$, and where $\Delta n_{AB} = n_A - n_B$.

15

Brief Description of the Drawings

Throughout the specification reference is made to the appended drawings, wherein:

20 FIG. 1 is a simplified, idealized graph of reflectance versus wavelength, showing a reflectance band produced by a generalized multilayer stack, and showing the accompanying higher order reflectance bands;

FIGS. 2a-c are partial sectional views of several PRIOR ART optical repeat units used in multilayer optical films;

FIG. 2d is a partial sectional view of an optical repeat unit as described herein;

25 FIGS. 3a-c show graphs of refractive index versus position along the thickness axis of an optical repeat unit; and

FIGS. 4a-b show additional graphs of refractive index functions, these functions being special cases of the more general refractive index function shown in FIG. 3c.

Detailed Description of the Illustrative Embodiments

Turning now to FIGS. 2a-d, depicted there for a better understanding of the disclosed multilayer films and their relation to the prior art, are partial cross-sectional representations of the optical repeat units of three known multilayer constructions side-by-side with such a representation of the disclosed construction. In these figures the layer thicknesses, which of course are greatly magnified, are scaled to represent optical thickness rather than physical thickness. A single optical repeat unit is shown in each case. In FIG. 2a, a simple two-component quarter-wave stack **102** has one H layer and one L layer. As mentioned above, such a construction provides suppression of even order reflections but not odd order reflections. In FIG. 2b, a six-layer two-component stack **104** described above in connection with '659 Arends et al. is shown. Only two component materials, H and L, are needed, but they must be arranged in relative optical thickness in a 7/18, 1/18, 1/18, 7/18, 1/18, 1/18 repeating sequence (fractions of the optical repeat unit) if 2nd through 4th order reflections are to be suppressed. In FIG. 2c, the four-layer three-component stack **106** described above in connection with '337 Schrenk et al. is shown. In this embodiment a third component material "M" is required that has the refractive index relationship provided in Equation 1 above, and further the layers must be arranged in relative optical thickness in a 1/3, 1/6, 1/3, 1/6 sequence (also fractions of the optical repeat unit) as shown, if the higher order reflections are to be suppressed.

FIG. 2d depicts a multilayer stack **108** having an alternative optical repeat unit construction that, as described below, is likewise capable of suppressing at least 2nd, 3rd, and 4th order reflections. This construction utilizes three or four distinct optical components, of differing refractive index at the design wavelength λ_0 , arranged in a six-layer optical repeat unit. The additional components are labeled L' and H' in FIG. 2d, where $n_H > n_{H'} > n_{L'} > n_L$. Note however that in some embodiments, n_H can equal $n_{H'}$, and in some other embodiments n_L can equal $n_{L'}$. (Alternative labeling conventions are of course also possible, e.g., materials A B C D, where $n_A > n_D > n_C > n_B$. In that event A=H, D=H', C=L', and B=L.) In contrast to the constructions of FIGS. 2b-c, the optical thicknesses of the layers required to suppress the higher order reflection bands is not a single fixed sequence, but rather is a function of the refractive indices of the three or four

optical materials. Further, the additional degree(s) of freedom provided by the third (and, when present, the fourth) optical component material permit(s) greater flexibility in the refractive index relationship between the materials than is offered by Equation (1) above.

The multilayer construction of stack **108** (FIG. 2d) provides the optical film designer with an infinite set of designs capable of higher order suppression, which infinite set spans a design gap between the limits of the FIG. 2b construction and the FIG. 2c construction. At one limit, where component L' becomes L and component H' becomes H, then $n_{L'} = n_L$ and $n_{H'} = n_H$, and the construction reduces to the two-component six-layer design of FIG. 2b. At the other limit, where L' and H' become indistinguishable from each other such that $n_{L'} = n_{H'}$, the construction reduces to the three-component four-layer construction of FIG. 2c. Importantly, however, an infinite set of useful stack designs between these limits is available which has not heretofore been known or appreciated.

The relationships of optical thickness and refractive index needed to suppress at least 2nd, 3rd, and 4th order reflections are discussed in connection with FIGS. 3a-c. In those figures, refractive index is plotted on the vertical axis and displacement or position X in the optical repeat unit is plotted on the horizontal axis. The parameter X is given in optical thickness, i.e., the aggregate of physical thickness times the respective refractive index of the individual layers in the stack, and is shown between the limits of 0 and 2T, 2T being the overall optical thickness of the optical repeat unit. The three refractive index functions **114**, **116**, **118** correspond to multilayer stacks **104**, **106**, **108** respectively. Only one full period (corresponding to one optical repeat unit) is shown in each case, with the understanding that it can repeat tens or hundreds of times in typical multilayer films, with or without a thickness gradient to widen the reflection band. The functions **114** and **116** have been shifted along the X-axis for convenience so that they exhibit reverse symmetry with respect to the midpoint of the unit cell, i.e. at position X = T. Also, the labels A, B, C, (and D in the case of functions **114** and **118**), rather than the H, L, M, H', and L' labels of FIG. 2, have been added next to each function in FIGS. 3a-c to identify the individual layers in the unit cells for ease of discussion below. Arbitrarily, the relatively thick layer of relatively high refractive index is labeled "A", and relatively thick layer of relatively low refractive index is labeled "B", and the other layers are

labeled C and D as shown. Note that no particular relationship is intended between identical labels used for the different refractive index functions. For example, n_C for function 116 is shown as the average of n_A and n_B , but n_C for function 118 is shown as less than the average of n_A and n_B for function 118.

5 Function 114 (FIG. 3a) is defined by two distinct refractive indices n_A , n_B , and six distinct layers within the optical repeat unit, where the two thicker layers are set to a common optical thickness $t_A = t_B = X_1$ and the remaining four thinner layers are set to a common optical thickness $(T-X_1)/2$. Dashed line 114a represents the average of n_A and n_B .

10 Function 116 (FIG. 3b) is defined by three distinct refractive indices n_A , n_B , n_C , and four distinct layers (one of which is shown split between the beginning and end of the optical repeat unit), where the A and the B layers are set to a common optical thickness $t_A = t_B = X_2$, and the two C layers are set to a common optical thickness $(T-X_2)$. Dashed line 116a represents the average of n_A and n_B .

15 Function 118 (FIG. 3c) is defined in its most generalized form by four distinct refractive indices n_A , n_D n_C , n_B , and six distinct layers, although the two relatively thin, low index C layers can be nominally identical, and the two relatively thin, high index D layers can be nominally identical. As before, dashed line 118a represents the average of n_A and n_B . Though shown in the order CACDBD, the individual layers can be arranged 20 in other cyclic permutations as well, such as ACDBDC, CDBDCA, DBDCAC, and so on.

For this function, in general, the optical thickness of the A layer can be different from that of the B layer, and the C layers can have different optical thicknesses than the D layers. The A and B layers, however, are each optically thicker than the C and D layers, and $n_A > n_B$, and $n_D > n_C$. In special cases the A and B layers can be set to a common optical thickness X_3 and the remaining C, D layers can be set to a common optical thickness $(T-X_3)/2$. Also in special cases n_A can equal n_D , or n_D can equal n_B . In other special cases the average of n_A and n_B can equal the average of n_C and n_D .

Fourier analysis of the functions 114 and 116 confirms the relationships already known to film designers. Such an analysis assumes an infinite repetition of the depicted 30 functions but is also valid as an approximation for a finite but large number of repetitions.

The analysis treats each function $f(X)$ as a refractive index waveform and calculates the Fourier coefficients a_n , b_n of the waveform:

$$f(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n X}{\Lambda}\right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n X}{\Lambda}\right) \quad Eq. (3)$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{\int_0^{\Lambda} f(X) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n X}{\Lambda}\right) dX}{\int_0^{\Lambda} dX} \quad Eq. (4)$$

and

$$b_n = \frac{\int_0^{\Lambda} f(X) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n X}{\Lambda}\right) dX}{\int_0^{\Lambda} dX} \quad Eq. (5)$$

The symbol Λ represents the optical repeat length, which is set to $2T$ as shown in FIG. 3.

In general, the Fourier series of a refractive index function is composed of sines and

10 cosines.

For the analysis here, it is sufficient to realize that if the Fourier coefficients of a given order number are zero, then the reflective power in that order is also zero, within an accuracy of about 1% or less. By using a single Fourier coefficient c_n defined by

$$c_n = \sqrt{a_n^2 + b_n^2}, \quad Eq. (6)$$

15 the condition for suppression of second order reflections reduces to $c_2 = 0$, the condition for suppression of third order reflections reduces to $c_3 = 0$, and so on.

In order to make the calculation of the Fourier coefficients simpler and for comparison purposes, the highest refractive index n_H in each case can be set equal to 1, and the lowest refractive index n_L can be set equal to -1. In this manner, all reflective 20 powers can be judged relative to a square index waveform of unit amplitude, i.e. a standard quarter-wave stack. Also, the period $2T$ can be set equal to 2 by arbitrarily setting $T = 1$. Using this analysis, the function 114 (FIG. 3a) has zero values for all even-order Fourier coefficients ($c_2 = c_4 = c_6 = \dots = 0$). However, function 114 has the following value for its third order Fourier coefficient, where $X_1 = t_A = t_B$:

$$c_3 = -\frac{4(1 + 2 \sin\left(\frac{3X_1\pi}{2}\right))}{3\pi}, \quad Eq. (7)$$

which equals zero only if

$$\sin\left(\frac{3X_1\pi}{2}\right) = -0.5. \quad Eq. (8)$$

There are an infinite number of solutions, unless we add the additional constraint from

5 FIG. 3a that $0 < X_1 < 1$. That constraint yields the single solution

$$\frac{3\pi X_1}{2} = \pi - \arcsin(-1/2), \quad Eq. (9)$$

or

$$X_1 = \frac{7}{9}. \quad Eq. (10)$$

This defines the thick layers of the 711711 repeat structure of the prior art, and the

10 remaining thin layers have relative optical thicknesses of 1/9. The above analysis confirms that the 711711 prior art multilayer structure will exhibit no second, third, or fourth order reflection bands (as well as no higher even order reflection bands).

For function 116, in the first order approximation to which the Fourier analysis is valid, the refractive index n_C is set to be the numerical average of n_A and n_B (i.e., $n_C = (n_A + n_B)/2$). This differs from the geometric average (i.e., $n_C = \sqrt{n_A \cdot n_B}$) relationship taught in U.S. Pat. 5,103,337 (Schrenk et al.). The approximation is accurate, however, to 0.3%, or an error in n_C of 0.0048, for a fairly typical case for polymeric materials where $n_A = 1.75$ and $n_B = 1.50$. The relative reflective powers of the various higher order peaks predicted by a Fourier analysis are also accurate to about the same degree.

20 A Fourier analysis of function 116 similar to that of function 114 also yields zero values for all even-order Fourier coefficients ($c_2 = c_4 = c_6 = \dots = 0$) as a result of the symmetry of function 116. If one also requires that the third-order Fourier coefficient c_3 also be zero, then the optical thickness X_2 ($= t_A = t_B$) must satisfy the following relationship:

$$\frac{3\pi X_2}{2} = \pi, \quad Eq. (11)$$

or

$$X_2 = \frac{2}{3}, \quad Eq. (12)$$

yielding the familiar 1/3, 1/6, 1/3, 1/6 repeat structure of the prior art. Hence the Fourier analysis confirms that the HMLM prior art multilayer structure will exhibit no second, third, or fourth order reflection bands (as well as no higher even order reflection bands).

5 Turning now to function 118 in FIG. 3c, it has now been found that a generalized six-layer stack corresponding to such a function can be made, and can, if desired, be designed to suppress three consecutive higher order reflections such as the second, third, and fourth order, while reflecting light in the first order at λ_0 . Various parameters defining function 118 can typically be selected or otherwise adjusted, such as the physical 10 thicknesses and/or the refractive indices of the different layer types A, B, C, D. The degrees of freedom offered by this adjustability, within the constraints discussed above generally with respect to function 118, together with the condition that the optical repeat unit have an optical thickness of one-half of the design wavelength λ_0 to be reflected, can be used to suppress three consecutive higher order reflections. This can be accomplished 15 by starting with a set of initial conditions for parameters defining function 118, computing the reflectivity of the corresponding optical repeat unit at optical wavelength λ_0 and at three consecutive higher orders such as $\lambda_0/2$, $\lambda_0/3$, and $\lambda_0/4$, and systematically adjusting the parameters to find a set of parameter values where the reflectivity at such higher orders is sufficiently small for the intended application (i.e., zero for purposes of 20 this application). The suppression of the three consecutive higher order reflections can also be accomplished by finding a set of parameter values for function 118 that makes three successive Fourier coefficients c_n (see Eq. 6 together with Eqs. 3-5 above) zero.

25 A special case of the generalized refractive index function 118 is shown in FIG. 4a as refractive index function 120. Here again, the individual layers are arranged in a cyclic permutation of CACDBD, and layers A and B each have optical thicknesses greater than the C and D layers, and $n_A > n_B$, and $n_D > n_C$. In function 120, the following additional conditions are also met:

$$n_A + n_B = n_C + n_D \quad Eq. (13)$$

$$t_A = t_B = X_3 \quad Eq. (14)$$

$$t_C = t_D = (T - X_3)/2 \quad Eq. (15)$$

In other words, the average refractive index of the A and B layers (shown as line 120a in FIG. 4a) equals the average refractive index of the C and D layers, the optical thickness of the A layer equals that of the B layer, and the optical thickness of the C and D layers 5 are also equal to each other. For convenience, we normalize the optical thickness such that $T = 1$, and we define a normalized parameter δ (depicted in FIG. 4a) as a measure of how much the refractive indices n_C and n_D differ from the average refractive index:

$$\delta = \frac{2n_D - (n_A + n_B)}{n_A - n_B} = \frac{(n_A + n_B) - 2n_C}{n_A - n_B} \quad Eq. (16)$$

Thus, as n_D approaches n_A (and as n_C approaches n_B), δ approaches 1. On the other hand 10 as n_D and n_A approach each other (and as they approach the average refractive index 120a), δ approaches 0.

The above conditions impose a symmetry upon function 120 with respect to the optical thickness position $X = T$ and with respect to the average refractive index. Because of this symmetry, all the even-order Fourier coefficients for function 120, from 15 Eq. 3 above, are zero ($c_2 = c_4 = c_6 = \dots = 0$). If we also require that the third order coefficient c_3 for function 120 equals zero, we obtain the following relationship:

$$\frac{3\pi X_3}{2} = \pi - \arcsin\left(\frac{-\delta}{1+\delta}\right). \quad Eq. (17)$$

Note that in the limit as $\delta = 1$, then the four-component system degenerates into a two-component system with $n_D = n_A$ and $n_C = n_B$, and Eq. (17) reduces to that of Eq. (9), 20 yielding the familiar 711711 multilayer construction. At the opposite extreme as $\delta = 0$, the four-component system degenerates into a three-component system with $n_D = n_C = n_M$, and Eq. (17) reduces to that of Eq. (11), yielding the familiar HMLM multilayer construction.

But between those limits, i.e. for $0 < \delta < 1$, an entire family of four-component 25 multilayer stacks are available (with six optical layers per optical repeat unit) that exhibit no second, third, or fourth order reflection bands, as well as no higher even order reflection bands.

Turning now to FIG. 4b, we see there another refractive index function 122 which represents another special case of the more general waveform 118 of FIG. 3c. Dashed

line 122a represents the average of refractive indices n_A and n_B . As before, the individual layers associated with function 122 are arranged in a cyclic permutation of CACDBD, and layers A and B each have optical thicknesses greater than the C and D layers, and $n_A > n_B$, and $n_D > n_C$. In function 122, the following additional conditions are also met:

5 $n_B = n_C$ Eq. (18)

$n_A \neq n_D$ Eq. (19)

In other words, the thin low index layers have the same refractive index as the thick low index layer. This may come about by using the same optical material in the formation of the B and C layers, in which case the optical repeat unit can consist essentially of three
10 distinct optical materials: one for the A layer, another for the B and C layers, and yet another for the D layers. (An analogous situation can arise where $n_A = n_D$, and $n_B \neq n_C$, where one optical material is used for the A and D layers, another optical material is used for the B layer, and yet another optical material is used for the C layer.) In this regard, it may be possible for two optical materials of the same chemical composition to be
15 distinct, if morphology differences between thicker and thinner layers of such composition result in unequal refractive indices at the design wavelength. Such morphology differences may arise during vapor deposition of inorganic materials or during stretching of multilayer polymeric films.

Refractive index function 122, so defined, lacks symmetry of the kind described
20 above with respect to refractive index function 120. Nevertheless, the general equation for the Fourier coefficients c_n (Eq. 6 above) can be shown to be, for refractive index function 122,

$$c_n = -\frac{2[\sin(n\pi) - 2\sin(n\pi t_A/2) + (1+h)\{\sin(n\pi(t_C + 0.5*t_A)) - \sin(n\pi(t_D + t_C + 0.5*t_A))\}]}{n\pi}$$

25 Eq. (20)

where the parameter h is

$$h = \frac{2n_D - (n_A + n_B)}{n_A - n_B}, \quad Eq. (21)$$

where the optical thickness t_B of the thick low index B layer is computed from the other optical thicknesses as

$$t_B = 2T - t_A - 2t_C - 2t_D, \quad Eq. (22)$$

and where the optical thicknesses t_A , t_B , t_C , t_D are scaled or normalized such that $T=1$.

Despite the lack of symmetry, for a given value of h , the three independent parameters t_A ,

t_C , t_D can be adjusted to simultaneously zero three higher order reflections, such as the

5 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} , and 4^{th} orders, even though the asymmetry does not in general permit an infinite number of higher even order reflections to be suppressed.

As a specific example of the asymmetric type exemplified by function 122, h was selected to be 0.5. A set of initial parameters t_A , t_B , t_C , t_D was selected, and three of these parameters were then systematically adjusted to find values where the computed 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} ,

10 and 4^{th} order Fourier coefficients were all zero. The resulting normalized optical thickness values were:

$$t_A = 0.7684$$

$$t_B = 0.7436$$

$$t_C = 0.0895$$

15 $t_D = 0.1545,$

and these resulted in a stack whose computed reflectivity at 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} , and 4^{th} orders was also zero.

As another specific example of the asymmetric type exemplified by function 122,

h was selected to be 0.3. A set of initial parameters t_A , t_B , t_C , t_D was selected, and three of

20 these parameters were then systematically adjusted to find values where the computed 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} , and 4^{th} order Fourier coefficients were all zero. The resulting normalized optical thickness values were:

$$t_A = 0.7594$$

$$t_B = 0.7214$$

25 $t_C = 0.0739$

$$t_D = 0.1857,$$

and these resulted in a stack whose computed reflectivity at 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} , and 4^{th} orders was also zero. Of course, this example and the one above where $h = 0.5$ are readily applicable to any desired wavelength of interest λ_0 simply by applying a scaling factor to the four

30 computed normalized thickness values to arrive at the actual optical thickness values for the individual layers in the optical repeat units.

As shown above, the thickness and refractive index values in the six-layer repeat structure depicted generally in FIG. 2d can be selected to simultaneously suppress three successive higher order harmonics. However, due to dispersion, the refractive index at each of the higher order wavelengths may differ from the value at the design wavelength 5 λ_0 , making it difficult to exactly suppress all three orders simultaneously. Nevertheless, the six layers in the repeat structure can be adjusted to adequately suppress all three orders. Relative suppression of the three orders may depend on product specifications.

Multilayer stacks described herein can be composed of conventional inorganic materials, such as are sequentially deposited on a glass or other substrate in a vacuum 10 environment. Examples of such inorganic materials include but are by no means limited to TiO_2 , SiO_2 , and mixtures thereof. Other materials known to those skilled in the art of inorganic vacuum deposition are also suitable. Multilayer stacks described herein can alternatively be composed of organic materials such as polymers. Common examples include polyethylene naphthalate (PEN), co-PEN, polyethylene terephthalate (PET), co- 15 PET, polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA), and syndiotactic polystyrene (sPS). Known fabrication techniques for such polymeric multilayer stacks include coextrusion of the polymers in a multilayer feedblock, such as described in U.S. Patent Publication 2001-0022982-A1 (Neavin et al.). The coextruded films can be stretched uniaxially or biaxially to modify the thickness and/or refractive indices of one or more polymer layers 20 in one or more orthogonal directions. Reference is made to U.S. Patent 5,882,774 (Jonza et al.), including the discussion therein of selecting out-of-plane (z-index) refractive index relationships to achieve desired reflectivity of p-polarized light at oblique incidence angles. This includes the technique of selecting the z-axis refractive indices of adjacent optical layers to substantially match, or to differ by an amount such as 0.5 or 0.25 or less 25 of an in-plane refractive index difference between such optical layers, or selecting the z-axis refractive index difference to be of opposite sign relative to the refractive index difference along an in-plane axis. The multilayer design considerations disclosed herein are applicable to films in only one in-plane direction, as with reflective multilayer polarizers, or to films in two orthogonal in-plane directions. Further, the multilayer 30 design considerations are also applicable to multilayer films, whether or not polymeric,

whose optical layers are composed entirely of optical materials having isotropic refractive indices.

Sharp boundaries between layers as shown in FIGS. 2, 3, and 4 are not always achievable or necessarily desirable. It is not considered critical that sharp boundaries be 5 maintained. A rounded rectangular wave profile can also produce the desired first order reflectivity and suppression of higher order reflectance bands. In this regard, interdiffusion between two distinct materials can occur that produces a distinct third optical layer. Optically, a mixed layer behaves as a different material than either of its components if they have differing refractive indices. Thus, one or more of the distinct 10 optical layers shown in the disclosed embodiments can comprise a mixture or interdiffusion of materials from adjacent optical layers.

Multilayer films can be made in which all or substantially all of the optical repeat units are of one type, such as one of the types depicted in FIGS. 2d, 3c, 4a, or 4b. Alternatively, a multilayer film can comprise two or more of such optical repeat unit 15 types. Still further, hybrid films can be made which comprise one or more of the optical repeat unit types depicted in FIGS. 2d, 3c, 4a, or 4b, together with one or more conventional optical repeat unit types such as those depicted in FIGS. 2a, 2b, or 2c. Optical repeat units of the same type can be grouped into packets, and different packets can be separated by protective boundary layers or other optically thick transparent 20 materials.

Throughout the present application, mathematical equations are provided. Such equations are also intended to encompass relationships where the quantity on the left-hand-side of the equals sign is not precisely equal to the quantity on the right-hand-side of the equals sign, but is close enough to achieve the desired end result in accordance 25 with the teachings herein.

All patents and patent publications referred to herein are incorporated by reference. Although the present invention has been described in connection with reference to preferred embodiments, those of skill in the art will recognize that changes can be made in form and detail, and the scope of the invention is limited only by the full 30 range of the claims appended hereto, including the range of protection provided under the doctrine of equivalents.